# GCMS Middle School Gibson City-Melvin-Sibley CUSD 5 Gibson City, ILLINOIS

# **GRADES : 678**



State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

This year, we have updated the report card to provide a full picture of school performance beyond just test scores. A display of this data designed with parents and communities in mind is available on illinoisreportcard.com. All of the metrics posted on illinoisreportcard.com are also included in this report.

# STUDENTS

RACIAL/	ETHNIC E	THNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION										
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American	Two or More Races	Percent Low- Income	Percent Limited- English- Proficient	Percent IEP	Percent Homeless	Total Enrollment
School District State	95.6 95.2 50.6	0.7 0.6 17.6	0.7 0.9 24.1	0.7 0.8 4.3	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0 0.3	2.2 2.5 3.0	37.8 39.7 49.9	0.0 0.0 9.5	14.1 11.8 13.6	0.0 0.3 2.0	270 1,060 2,054,155

Low-income students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches. IEP Students are those students eligible to receive special education services.

Limited-English-proficient students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs. Total Enrollment is based on <u>Home School</u>.

Homeless students are who do not have permanent and adequate homes.

	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate
School		0.0	6.0	95.7
District		1.6	8.8	95.3
State		9.8	12.8	94.2

Mobility rate is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year. Chronic truants are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 9 or more of the last 180 school days.

# INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

PARENTAL	CONTACT*	Total School	Days
	Percent		Days
School District State	100.0 100.0 95.5	School District State	175 175 176

\* Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.


AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)											
Grades	к	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12	Overall
School							14.5	11.9	13.6		13.3
District State							14.5 23.1	11.9 22.3	13.6 22.2		15.4 21.2

TIME DEVOTED TO TEACHING CORE SUBJECTS (Minutes Per Day)														
	M	athematio	cs	Science			English	n/Langua	ge Arts	So	Social Science			
Grades	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8		
School		41	41		41	41		82	62		41	41		
District		41	41		41	41		82	62		41	41		
State		58	55		44	46		103	92		43	45		

	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers						
School	0.0						
District	0.0						
State	0.2						

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.

# SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES



REVENUE BY SOURCE 2011-1	2			EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2011-12						
	District	District %	State %		District	District %	State %			
Local Property Taxes	\$6,050,858	54.9	61.1	Education	\$8,305,996	72.2	73.4			
				<b>Operations &amp; Maintenance</b>	\$836,242	7.3	6.2			
Other Local Funding	\$903,737	8.2	4.8	Transportation	\$501,539	4.4	3.7			
				Debt Service	\$744,362	6.5	7.6			
General State Aid	\$2,753,681	25.0	16.4	Tort	\$330,774	2.9	1.2			
				Municipal Retirement/						
Other State Funding	\$814,880	7.4	9.7	Social Security	\$294,151	2.6	2.0			
				Fire Prevention & Safety	\$24,388	0.2	0.7			
Federal Funding	\$498,463	4.5	8.1	Capital Projects	\$466,209	4.1	5.2			
TOTAL	\$11,021,619			TOTAL	\$11,503,661					

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS									
	2010 Equalized	2010 Total School	2011-12 Instructional	2011-12 Operating					
	Assessed Valuation	Tax Rate	Expenditure	Expenditure					
	per Pupil	per \$100	per Pupil	per Pupil					
District	\$103,353	5.28	\$5,739	\$10,254					
State	**	**	\$6,974	\$11,842					

\*\* Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.

Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.


Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.


Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

## ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

#### **OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE**


These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. They respresent your school's performance in reading and mathematics. The ISAT reading and math cut scores were reset for school year 2013. Starting in 2013, Illinois raised the performance cut scores in reading and math to align with college and career ready expectations.







#### ISAT PERFORMANCE

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on ISAT.







### PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8 and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCE	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR READING														
			Ge	nder		Racial/Ethnic Background									
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School	*Enrollment	266	146	120	253	3	2	2	0	0	6	0	0	38	99
	Reading	0.4	0.0	0.8	0.4									0.0	1.0
District	*Enrollment	576	303	273	550	3	4	3	0	0	16	0	0	67	219
	Reading	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.2						0.0			0.0	0.5
State	*Enrollment	1,067,095	545,884	521,053	542,053	188,403	253,427	46,751	1,561	3,694	30,704	73,555	276	143,695	542,427
Ulait	Reading	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	1.1	0.8	0.5

\* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

Number of LEP Students who have attended schools in the U.S. for less than 12 months and are not assessed on the State's reading/language arts test: 0

PERCE	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR MATHEMATICS														
			Gender			F	Racial/Ethni	c Backgro	ound						
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School	*Enrollment	266	146	120	253	3	2	2	0	0	6	0	0	38	99
	Mathematics	0.4	0.0	0.8	0.4									0.0	1.0
District	*Enrollment	576	303	273	550	3	4	3	0	0	16	0	0	67	219
	Mathematics	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.2						0.0			0.0	0.5
State	*Enrollment	1,068,846	546,846	521,842	542,440	188,509	254,061	47,334	1,565	3,709	30,726	75,331	278	143,714	543,668
	Mathematics	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.9	0.5

\* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

PERCE	NTAGE OF S	TUDENTS	NOT TEST	ED IN STA	TE TESTIN	IG PROGR	AMS FOR	SCIENCE							
			Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background									
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School	*Enrollment	83	47	36	79	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	11	30
	Science	1.2	0.0	2.8	1.3									0.0	3.3
District	*Enrollment	235	128	107	227	1	3	0	0	0	4	0	0	29	80
District	Science	0.4	0.0	0.9	0.4									0.0	1.3
State	*Enrollment	455,414	232,478	222,882	234,340	79,949	105,892	20,304	615	1,520	12,626	25,072	106	60,808	223,602
	Science	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.5	1.1	0.6	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.9	1.4	0.8

\* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 4, 7, and 11.

#### ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

Level 1 Academic Warning -	Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.
Level 2 Below Standards -	Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.
Level 3 Meets Standards -	Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.
Level 4 Exceeds Standards -	Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

#### Grade 6

Grade 6 - All								
		Read	ding			Mather	natics	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School	1.1	24.1	48.3	26.4	1.1	12.6	63.2	23.0
District State	1.1 6.1	24.1 35.0	48.3 42.7	26.4 16.2	1.1 7.2	12.6 33.1	63.2 47.0	23.0 12.6

#### Grade 6 - Gender

			Rea	ding			Mathe	matics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male	School	2.2	33.3	40.0	24.4	2.2	13.3	62.2	22.2
	District	2.2	33.3	40.0	24.4	2.2	13.3	62.2	22.2
	State	8.0	37.9	41.2	13.0	8.4	33.6	45.0	13.0
Female	School	0.0	14.3	57.1	28.6	0.0	11.9	64.3	23.8
	District	0.0	14.3	57.1	28.6	0.0	11.9	64.3	23.8
	State	4.1	31.9	44.4	19.5	6.0	32.7	49.2	12.2

#### Grade 6 - Racial/Ethnic Background

			Rea	dina			Mathe	natics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White						•			
	School	1.2	25.0	48.8	25.0	1.2	13.1	63.1	22.6
	District	1.2 3.5	25.0 27.1	48.8 47.8	25.0 21.6	1.2 4.1	13.1 25.6	63.1 53.7	22.6 16.7
Black	State	3.5	21.1	47.0	21.0	4.1	20.0	55.7	10.7
DIACK	School								
	District								
	State	11.7	48.5	33.6	6.3	15.3	47.7	33.6	3.4
Hispanic									
	School District								
	State	8.1	45.1	38.7	8.1	8.7	41.9	43.4	5.9
Asian	Oldle	0.1	10.1	00.1	0.1	0.1	11.0	10.1	0.0
	School								
	District								
	State	2.2	17.5	43.4	36.8	2.5	14.2	45.2	38.1
	aiian/Pacific								
Islander	School								
	District								
	State	10.6	32.4	42.1	14.8	8.3	36.1	43.5	12.0
American I									
	School								
	District State	7.7	45.4	36.9	10.0	9.3	43.8	39.1	7.9
Two or Mor		1.1	тт	00.0	10.0	5.0	-0.0	00.1	1.5
	School								
	District								
	State	5.0	30.5	44.3	20.2	6.9	30.1	47.3	15.7

#### Grade 6 - Economically Disadvantaged

		Rea	ding	_		Mather	natics	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Free/Reduced Price Lunch								
School	0.0	33.3	53.3	13.3	0.0	23.3	63.3	13.3
District	0.0	33.3	53.3	13.3	0.0	23.3	63.3	13.3
State	9.6	46.2	37.1	7.2	11.1	43.9	40.0	5.0
Not Eligible								
School	1.8	19.3	45.6	33.3	1.8	7.0	63.2	28.1
District	1.8	19.3	45.6	33.3	1.8	7.0	63.2	28.1
State	2.3	22.9	48.9	25.9	3.0	21.4	54.7	20.9

# Grade 7

Grade 7 - All	-				_							
		Rea	ding	_		Mathe	matics			Scie	ence	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School	6.1	25.6	56.1	12.2	1.2	19.5	67.1	12.2	2.4	11.0	65.9	20.7
District	6.1	25.6	56.1	12.2	1.2	19.5	67.1	12.2	2.4	11.0	65.9	20.7
State	6.5	35.0	43.6	14.9	7.0	34.0	46.7	12.4	6.9	14.1	54.3	24.7

#### Grade 7 - Gender

			Reading				Mathe	matics			Scie	ence	
	Levels	1	1 2 3 4				2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male	School	8.5	31.9	48.9	10.6	2.1	21.3	66.0	10.6	4.3	14.9	57.4	23.4
	District	8.5	31.9	48.9	10.6	2.1	21.3	66.0	10.6	4.3	14.9	57.4	23.4
	State	8.5	37.4	42.1	11.9	8.5	34.5	44.2	12.8	8.3	14.6	50.6	26.5
Female	School	2.9	17.1	65.7	14.3	0.0	17.1	68.6	14.3	0.0	5.7	77.1	17.1
	District	2.9	17.1	65.7	14.3	0.0	17.1	68.6	14.3	0.0	5.7	77.1	17.1
	State	4.4	32.5	45.1	18.0	5.4	33.4	49.3	11.9	5.4	13.5	58.2	22.8

#### Grade 7 - Racial/Ethnic Background

		Ŭ		ding			Mather	natics			Scie	ence	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White	School	6.4	23.1	57.7	12.8	1.3	17.9	67.9	12.8	2.6	9.0	66.7	21.8
	District	6.4	23.1	57.7	12.8	1.3	17.9	67.9	12.8	2.6	9.0	66.7	21.8
	State	4.0	28.2	48.4	19.4	4.1	27.3	52.5	16.1	3.6	9.0	53.2	34.2
Black	School District State	12.3	47.6	34.2	5.9	14.2	47.5	35.0	3.3	14.3	24.0	54.0	7.7
Hispanic	School District State	8.3	43.8	39.6	8.3	8.6	42.2	43.3	6.0	9.1	19.3	59.0	12.6
Asian	School District State	2.3	16.7	47.7	33.3	2.3	13.4	46.0	38.4	2.8	5.3	45.7	46.2
Native Haw Islander	aiian/Pacific School District State	5.2	36.6	43.3	14.9	6.7	34.9	44.6	13.8	6.0	15.3	55.6	23.1
American li	ndian School District State	9.7	39.2	39.3	11.8	9.4	40.5	41.3	8.7	9.5	17.7	53.0	19.8
Two or Mor	e Races School District State	6.1	31.3	43.7	18.9	6.9	31.6	45.5	15.9	6.4	12.4	51.2	29.9

#### Grade 7 - Students with Disabilities

			Rea	ding			Mather	natics	-		Scie	nce	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
IEP	School	18.2	54.5	27.3	0.0	0.0	54.5	45.5	0.0	18.2	9.1	63.6	9.1
	District	18.2	54.5	27.3	0.0	0.0	54.5	45.5	0.0	18.2	9.1	63.6	9.1
	State	30.7	52.7	14.7	1.9	30.8	51.2	16.2	1.7	24.0	31.3	39.0	5.7
Non-IEP	School	4.2	21.1	60.6	14.1	1.4	14.1	70.4	14.1	0.0	11.3	66.2	22.5
	District	4.2	21.1	60.6	14.1	1.4	14.1	70.4	14.1	0.0	11.3	66.2	22.5
	State	3.1	32.5	47.7	16.7	3.6	31.5	51.0	13.9	4.5	11.7	56.5	27.4

#### Grade 7 - Economically Disadvantaged

		Rea	ding			Mathe	ematics			Scie	ence	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Free/Reduced Price Lunch School District State	3.4 3.4 10.3	34.5 34.5 45.9	51.7 51.7 37.1	10.3 10.3 6.8	0.0 0.0 10.8	24.1 24.1 44.8	62.1 62.1 39.6	13.8 13.8 4.8	0.0 0.0 10.8	17.2 17.2 20.7	65.5 65.5 56.7	17.2 17.2 11.7
Not Eligible School District State	7.5 7.5 2.6	20.8 20.8 23.8	58.5 58.5 50.3	13.2 13.2 23.3	1.9 1.9 3.0	17.0 17.0 22.8	69.8 69.8 54.0	11.3 11.3 20.2	3.8 3.8 2.8	7.5 7.5 7.2	66.0 66.0 51.8	22.6 22.6 38.2

#### Grade 8

Grade 8 - All					_			
		Read	ding			Mather	natics	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School District State	4.3 4.3 5.9	29.3 29.3 34.4	37.0 37.0 41.7	29.3 29.3 18.1	1.1 1.1 5.4	32.6 32.6 35.7	50.0 50.0 45.7	16.3 16.3 13.2

#### Grade 8 - Gender

			Rea	ding			Mather	natics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male	School	5.9	29.4	37.3	27.5	2.0	29.4	51.0	17.6
	District	5.9	29.4	37.3	27.5	2.0	29.4	51.0	17.6
	State	8.0	36.9	39.5	15.6	6.5	36.1	44.0	13.4
Female	School	2.4	29.3	36.6	31.7	0.0	36.6	48.8	14.6
	District	2.4	29.3	36.6	31.7	0.0	36.6	48.8	14.6
	State	3.7	31.7	43.9	20.7	4.2	35.4	47.4	12.9

#### Grade 8 - Racial/Ethnic Background

			Rea	ding			Mather	natics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White									
	School	4.6	29.9	37.9	27.6	1.1	32.2	50.6	16.1
	District	4.6	29.9	37.9	27.6	1.1	32.2	50.6	16.1
	State	3.8	26.5	45.2	24.5	3.5	28.5	50.6	17.3
Black	School								
	District								
	State	11.1	48.9	33.9	6.1	11.2	51.9	33.6	3.3
llianania	Slale	11.1	40.3	33.3	0.1	11.2	51.5	33.0	0.0
Hispanic	School								
	District								
	State	7.1	44.0	39.5	9.4	5.5	43.4	44.6	6.5
Asian	Oldie	1.1		00.0	U.1	0.0	40.4	-++. <b>v</b>	0.0
Asian	School								
	District								
	State	2.5	17.0	43.6	36.8	1.9	14.2	44.6	39.3
Native Hawa	iian/Pacific								
Islander									
	School								
	District								
	State	8.3	38.4	40.7	12.6	4.0	39.3	47.9	8.9
American Inc	dian								
	School								
	District								
	State	6.8	40.2	42.8	10.2	7.5	41.7	41.1	9.7
Two or More									
	School								
	District								
	State	5.6	31.5	40.5	22.4	5.5	34.8	43.0	16.7

#### Grade 8 - Students with Disabilities

			Rea	ding		Mathematics				
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
IEP										
	School	14.3	64.3	14.3	7.1	0.0	71.4	21.4	7.1	
	District	14.3	64.3	14.3	7.1	0.0	71.4	21.4	7.1	
	State	29.7	53.6	14.2	2.5	26.3	56.7	15.1	1.9	
Non-IEP										
	School	2.6	23.1	41.0	33.3	1.3	25.6	55.1	17.9	
	District	2.6	23.1	41.0	33.3	1.3	25.6	55.1	17.9	
	State	2.5	31.6	45.5	20.3	2.5	32.8	50.0	14.7	

#### Grade 8 - Economically Disadvantaged

		Rea	ding		Mathematics				
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Free/Reduced Price Lunch School District State	2.8 2.8 9.1	36.1 36.1 46.0	33.3 33.3 36.8	27.8 27.8 8.0	0.0 0.0 8.3	38.9 38.9 47.3	52.8 52.8 39.3	8.3 8.3 5.1	
Not Eligible School District State	5.4 5.4 2.7	25.0 25.0 22.8	39.3 39.3 46.5	30.4 30.4 28.1	1.8 1.8 2.5	28.6 28.6 24.3	48.2 48.2 52.0	21.4 21.4 21.1	

### 2013 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) STATUS REPORT

Is this school making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	No	Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?			
Is this school making AYP in Reading?	No	2013-14 Federal Improvement Status			
Is this school making AYP in Mathematics?	No	2013-14 State Improvement Status			

	Percent Tested on State Tests				Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *						Other Indicators				
	Rea	ding	Mather	matics		Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	
State AYP Minimum Target	95.0		95.0		92.5			92.5			92.0		85.0		
All	99.6	Yes	99.6	Yes	70.9	75.9	No	77.7	81.6	No	95.7	Yes			
White	99.6	Yes	99.6	Yes	70.6	75.4	No	77.9	81.4	No	95.8				
Black															
Hispanic															
Asian															
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander															
American Indian															
Two or More Races															
LEP															
Students with Disabilities															
Economically Disadvantaged	99.0	Yes	99.0	Yes	64.8	68.0	Yes	71.6	72.9	Yes	94.5				

Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):

1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.

2. At least 92.5% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 92.5% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions. \*\*\*

3. At least 92% attendance rate for non-high schools.

4. At least 85% graduation rate for high schools. The State would first examine whether the school met the target for the four-year graduation rate. If it did not, the State would then determine whether the school met the five-year graduation rate target. If either of those rates were met, this would indicate that the school met the other academic indicator for AYP. The largest number among the 4-year and 5-year graduation rates would be printed.

\* Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2012.

\*\* Safe Harbor Targets of 92.5% or above are not printed.

\*\*\* Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.

## 2013 STUDENT ACADEMIC GROWTH

Average Growth Value								
	Reading	Math						
School	100.1	101.9						
District	98.7	97.9						
State	102.1	101.4						

Illinois has chosen to use a value table methodology to determine the school and district growth metric based on student performance on large-scale assessments (the ISAT). The numbers contained in the value table represent the number of students in each cell. The average of all students' academic growth over two year's performance will be used to determine the growth metric.

### Reading

			Performance Level in Year 2									
			Academic Warning		Below Standards		Meets Standards		Exceeds Standards			
				1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B		
	Academic	1A										
ar 1	Warning	1B			1							
Performance Level in Year 1	Below Standards	2A	2	2	12	4	1					
ce Leve		2B		6	6	16	8	1				
forman	Meets	3A			4	18	30	15	3	2		
Per	Standards	3B				5	23	24	18	2		
	Exceeds Standards	4A					4	12	14	11		
		4B					1	1	7	3		

# Math

			Performance Level in Year 2										
			Academic Warning			elow ndards		ets dards		eeds Idards			
				1B	2A	2B	3A	3B	4A	4B			
	Academic	1A											
r 1	Warning	1B		1	1								
Performance Level in Year 1	Below Standards	2A			5	4	1						
		2B		1	10	16	8	1					
forman	Meets	3A		1	4	14	48	11	2				
Perl	Standards	3B				1	19	48	8	1			
	Exceeds Standards	4A					2	15	15	4			
		4B							6	8			